

Original Research Paper

Vol. 04 Issue 10 Oct - 2021

Manuscript ID: #0495

MODELING AND ANALYSIS OF THE INTERACTION OF POLICE AND CRIMINAL POPULATIONS: A COMPETING SPECIES MODEL

A. Kazmierczak

T. H. E. Institute Cape Giradeau, MO

Corresponding author: *A. Kazmierczak Email: akazmierczak1949@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The rise of the criminal population in the United States has brought concern, debate, and contention to the modern world. The strategies of criminals are national and are no longer concentrated in a particular location. In this paper, we present a dynamical model of the interaction between criminal and police populations. The formulation is based on models of interactions between competing species type dynamics. An exploration of the long-term dynamics and stability of homogeneous equilibrium solutions and their stability is given. The paper is given in multiple parts. Part two presents the mathematical model and analyzes the situation for current population levels. Part three analyzes the situation when an additional number of members are introduced into the criminal population. Part four analyzes the scenario where the criminal population is reduced. Part five presents conclusions.

KEYWORDS

Criminal, Police, competing species model, equilibrium solutions, stability at equilibrium solutions.

Mathematica subject classification: 62J12, 62G99 Computing Classification: I.4

This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

Copyright © The Author(s). All Rights Reserved © GLOBAL PUBLICATION HOUSE | INT Journal of Mathematics |

1- Introduction

Criminals are not a new phenomenon. However, there is a marked and exponential increase in the growth of criminals', which wreak havoc to native citizens. These criminals affect all areas of the economy, markets, and political and social policies. In addition, the strength and presence of criminal organization, activities create emigration issues. In particular, the rise of the criminals has caused the largest domestic crisis since the dawn of the Second World War. Consequently, countries are faced with extremely difficult, complex, and contentious political and social decisions on the addressing the criminal problem.

The acceptance of criminals provides a Trojan horse of issues, namely, violence against citizens, criminal conflict, extortion, protection, and their involvement in criminal activities. Despite these impending threats, there is not much literature that takes a dynamical systems approach to understanding the spread of criminals, at a population level. Our primary objective is to bridge the gap.

In our framework, we let C represent the criminal population. Of course, there will be some criminals that get clean and will be productive citizens. This populations called police and is denoted by P: P can be viewed as the total police population of an area. This paper is a first step in providing a mathematical modeling framework to study the evolution and interaction between this criminal and police population. The criminal population is modeled by standard population growth models

Also, we consider the addition to the criminal population of increased number of criminals this paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we develop and analyze the time-dependent autonomous criminal user ordinary differential equation (ODE) model. We examine the equilibrium solutions, the stability of the equilibrium solutions and investigate the dynamics numerically for the current population levels. In section 3, we consider the situation when more criminals are introduced into the system. We examine the equilibrium solutions, the stability of the equilibrium solutions, the stability of the equilibrium solutions and investigate the dynamics are introduced into the system. We examine the equilibrium solutions, the stability of the equilibrium solutions and investigate the dynamics numerically for this situation also. In section 4, we analyze the scenario where the criminal population is decreased. In section 5 we present our conclusions.

2 Police-Criminal(P,C) ODE Model

Consider the mathematical model

$$C = a_1 P(1+d_1 P) - a_{NR} C P/(1+d_2 P) - b_1 C^2 = 0 = f_P(C, P)$$
(1)

$$P = a_2 P/(1+d_3 P) - a_{NR} CP/(1+d_2 C) - b_2 P^2 = 0 = f_C(C, P)$$
(2)

The populations C (t) and P (t) represent the populations of the criminal and police populations. New criminal are slowly coming into the criminal population. The parameters are all assumed to be positive and their descriptions are given in Table 1a.

Table 1a: List	of parameters	used in the	differential e	equation model

Symbols	Meaning
a_1	Growth rate of the criminal population
a_2	Growth rate of the police population
b_1	Population loss in C due to intra-species competition and natural mortality
b_2	Population loss in P due to intra-species competition and natural mortality
a _{NR}	Maximum per capita loss in C due to recruitment by police
d_1	Measures the effectiveness of P in disrupting the growth rate of C
d_2	Measures the resilience of P to recruitment strategies by C
d_3	Measures the effectiveness of P in the decline of criminals

In the case of $d_i = b_i = 0$, the mathematical model becomes similar to the competing species model. The parameters d_i influence the carrying capacity of the individual populations. Or instance, if $d_1 >> 1$ then the growth rate of C is reduced. This is interpreted as: a highly effective police population can greatly hinder the growth rate of C. The growth rate of the criminal population depends on the successful recruitment from the

neutral population. Notice, that if $d_2 \gg 1$ then the recruitment by P is small, Also, if $d_3 \gg 1$, new criminals members are introduced into the criminal population at a slower rate. The values chosen for the variables in this model are listed in Table 1b.

Table1b: Values of parameters

a1	a2	b_1	b ₂	a _{NR}	d1	d ₂	d ₃
2	2	0.5	0.5	2	2	2	3

2.1 Police Criminal (P, C) ODE Model

Consider the mathematical model

$$f_{C}(C, P) = (a_{1}/(1+d_{3}C) - a_{NR}P/(1+d_{2}P) - b_{1}P)P = 0$$
(3)

$$f_P(C, P) = (a_2/(1+d_1P)) - a_{NR}P/(1+d_2P) - (b_2C)) C = 0$$
(4)

Since this system is nonlinear, the first step is linearization using the Jacobian.

The Jacobian for this system is defined as

$$J = \left. \begin{array}{ccc} \left| \begin{array}{c} \partial f_p / \partial C & & \partial f_p / \partial P \\ \right| & & \\ \partial f_C / \partial C & & \partial f_C / \partial P \end{array} \right| \right.$$

The partial derivatives are:,

$$\partial P/\partial P = a_1/(1+d_1C) - a_{nr}C(1+d_2P) - a_{nr}d_2CP - 2b_1P$$

$$\partial P/\partial C = -a_1d_1P/(1+d_1C)^2 - a_{nr}P/(1+d_2P)$$

$$\partial C/\partial P = -a_2d_3C/(1+d_1C)^2 - a_{nr}P/(1+d_2P)$$

$$\partial C/\partial C = a_2/(1+d_3P) - a_{nr}C(1+d_2P) - a_{nr}d_2CP - 2b_2C$$

Using the values in table for the parameters, the Jacobian becomes.

$$J = \begin{vmatrix} 2/(1+d_1C) - 2C(1+2P) - 4CP - P & P/(1+2C)^2 - 2P/(1+2P) \\ -4D/(1+2D)^2 - 2P/(1+2P) & 2/(1+2P) - 2rD(1+2P) - 4CP - C \end{vmatrix}$$

2.2 Equilibrium Points

Using the Maple CAS from Maplesoft, we obtained the following real valued equilibrium points

{C=0.,P=0.}, {C=4.,P=0.}, {C=0.,P=4.}, {C=0.6319394087,P=0.4891955799}, {C=-0.6082709305,P=-0.4325627635}, {C=0.1197573734,P=-0.4345884397}, {C=-2.874675564,P=-3.074988235}

2.1 Analyzing equilibrium points for stability

In this section we use the equilibrium points to generate the eigen values for the system and establish whether the equilibrium point is stable or unstable.

2.2 Summarization

Table 2 summarizes the results for the current population levels.
Table 2 – Results for Current Population Levels

Equilibrium	Eigen	Node	Stability
Point	Values	Туре	
{C=0.	2.,	Repelling	Unstable
P=0.},	2		
{C=4.	-10.,	Attracting	Unstable
P=0.},	-7.77777777800000		
{C=0.	-4.91963492175763,	Saddle	Unstable
P=4.},	3.1418571439576		
{C=0.6319394087,	558388551229047,	Attracting	Unstable
P=0.4891955799},	-2.41920366377095		
{C=-0.6082709305,	-40.4097992538318,	Saddle	Unstable
P=-0.4325627635},	62.9778396954318		
{C=0.1197573734,	1.84772961863995,	Attracting	Asymptotically
P=-0.4345884397},	391190751339948	Spiral	Stable
{C=-2.874675564,	-33.2330107120919,	Repelling	Unstable
P=-3.074988235}	-34.5763031979081		

3. Growth of the Criminal Population

In this section, we consider the situation where 25% more criminals are added to the criminal population. The mathematical model now becomes

$$F_{P}(P, C) = (a_{1}/(1+d_{1}(C(1.25)) - a_{NR_{1}}C(1.25)P/(1+d_{2}P) - b_{1}P)P = 0$$
(3)

$$F_{C}(P, C) = (a_{2}/(1+d_{3}P) - (a_{NR}P/(1+d_{2}P)) - b_{2}(C(1.25)) (C(1.25)) = 0$$
(4)

Using Maple we obtain the following real valued equilibrium points:

{C = 0. P = 0.}, {C = 3.20, P = 0.}, {C = 0. P = 4.}, {C = .5055515270, P = .4891955799}, {C = -.4866167444, P = -.4325627635}, {C = 0.09580589875, P = -.4345884397}, {C = -2.299740451, P = -3.074988235},

3.1 Analyzing equilibrium points for stability

In this section we use the equilibrium points to generate the eigenvalues for the system and establish whether the equilibrium point is stable or unstable.

3.2 Summarization

Table 3 summarizes the results for an increased criminal population level.

Equilibrium	Eigen	Node Type	Stability
Point	values		-
${\bf C} = 0.$	2.	Repelling	Unstable
$P = 0.$ },	2.		
$\{C = 3.200000000,$	-7.6000000000000,	Attracting	Stable
$P = 0.$ },	-6.12972973000000		
${\bf C} = 0.$	-4.91963492175763,	Saddle	Unstable
$P = 4.$ },	3.14185714395763		
{C = .5055515270,	-1.98140290930199,	Attracting	Stable
P = .4891955799},	-0.00859798059801042		
{C =4866167444,	2619.70865593003,	Saddle	Unstable
$P =4325627635$ },	-2516.49288416003		
$\{C = 0.09580589875,$	-2.28496565045305,	Saddle	Unstable
$P =4345884397$ },	16.9937275912531		
$\{C = -2.299740451,$	-26.5051470341675,	Attracting	Stable
$P = -3.074988235$ },	-27.4237242158325		

Table 3 – Results for Increased Drug Levels

4. Decline of the Criminal Population

In this section, we consider the situation where 25% are removed from the criminal population. The mathematical model now becomes

$$f_{P}(P, C) = (a_{1}/(1+d_{1}(C(0.75)) - a_{NR}(C(0.75))/(1+d_{2}P) - b_{1}P)P = 0$$
(7)

$$f_{C}(P, C) = -2C/(1+3P)^{2} - a_{NR}C/(1+d_{2}P) - b2(C(0.75))) \quad (C(0.75)) = 0$$
(8)

Using the Maple CAS on (7) and (8) we obtained the following equilibrium points:

{C=0.P=0.}, {C=5.333333333,P=0.}, {C=0.P=4.}, {C=0.8425858783,P=0.4891955799}, {C=-0.8110279073,P=-0.4325627635}, {C=0.1596764979,P=-0.4345884397}, {C=-3.832900753,P=-3.074988235},

4.1 Analyzing equilibrium points for stability

In this section we use the equilibrium points to generate the eigenvalues for the system and establish whether the equilibrium point is stable or unstable.

4.2 Summarization

Table 4 – Results for Decreased Drug Population Levels				
Equilibrium	Eigen	Node	Stability	
Point	values	Туре		
{C=0.	2.,	Repelling	Unstable	
P=0.},	2.			
{C=5.333333333,	-14.,	Attracting	Stable	
P=0.},	-10.49523810000			
{C=0.	-4.91963492175763,	Saddle	Unstable	
P=4.},	3.14185714395763			
{C=0.8425858783,	-1.42907252092108,	Attracting	Stable	
P=0.4891955799},	-3.14804742107892			
{C=-0.8110279073,	1.36941934968578,	Repelling	Unstable	
P=-0.4325627635},	32.7338864003142			
{C=0.1596764979,	-3.18376470408883,	Saddle	Unstable	
P=-0.4345884397},	15.9353268839888			
{C=-3.832900753,	-46.4672106267829,	Attracting	Stable	
P=-3.074988235},	-44.5793122632171			

Table 3 summarizes the results for an increased criminal population level. Table 4 – Results for Decreased Drug Population Levels

5. Conclusions

In this paper we modeled and analyzed the interaction of police and criminal populations. A comparison of the results in Table 2, and Table 3 and Table 4. Table 2 shows that any criminals make the system unstable. More criminals contribute to instability while a decreased criminal population reduces instabilities.

References:

- 1. Marc B, Baudry F, Vaquero P, Zerrouki L, Hassnaoui S, Douceron H (April 2000). "Sexual assault under benzodiazepine submission in a Paris suburb". Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics. 263 (4): 193–7. doi:10.1007/s004040050282. PMID 10834331.
- 2. Yacoubian GS. (January 2003). "Correlates of Drug use among a sample of arrestees surveyed through the Arrestee Drug Abuse Monitoring (ADAM) Program". Substance Use & Misuse. **38** (1): 127–39. doi:10.1081/JA-120016569. PMID 12602810.
- 3. Macdonald S; Wells S; Giesbrecht N; Cherpitel CJ. (June 1, 1999). "Demographic and substance use factors related to violent and accidental injuries: results from an emergency room study". Drug and Alcohol Dependence. 55 (1–2): 53–61. doi:10.1016/S0376-8716(98)00184-7. PMID 10402149.
- 4. Australian Government; National Drug Law Enforcement Research Fund (2007). "Benzodiazepine and pharmaceutical opioid misuse and their relationship to crime" (PDF). NDLERF. Retrieved 27 December 2008.
- The Scottish Government Publications (25 July 2000). "Interviewing and Drug Testing of Arrestees in Scotland: A Pilot of the Arrestee Drug Abuse Monitoring Methodology (ADAM)". Retrieved 27 December 2008.
- "Injecting Temazepam: The facts Temazepam Injection and Diversion". Victorian Government Health Information. 29 March 2007. Archived from the original on 7 January 2008. Retrieved 2007-11-25.
- 7. National Drug Strategy; National Drug Law Enforcement Research Fund (2007). "Benzodiazepine and pharmaceutical opioid misuse and their relationship to crime An examination of illicit prescription drug markets in Melbourne, Hobart and Darwin" (PDF). Archived from the original (PDF) on 8 October 2012. Retrieved 27 December 2008.
- 8. Missliwetz J (July–August 1981). "[Serial homicide in the Vienna-Lainz hospital]". ArchivfürKriminologie. **194** (1–2): 1–7. PMID 7979864.
- Valentine JL; Schexnayder S; Jones JG; Sturner WQ. (September 1997). "Clinical and toxicological findings in two young siblings and autopsy findings in one sibling with multiple hospital admissions resulting in death. Evidence suggesting Munchausen Syndrome by Proxy". The American Journal of Forensic Medicine and Pathology. 18 (3): 276–81. doi:10.1097/00000433-199709000-00009. PMID 9290875.
- [^] Saito T; Takeichi S; Nakajima Y; Yukawa N; Osawa M. (November–December 1997). "A case of homicidal poisoning involving several drugs". Journal of Analytical Toxicology. 21 (7): 584– 6. doi:10.1093/jat/21.7.584. PMID 9399131.
- Boussairi A; Dupeyron JP; Hernandez B; Delaitre D; Beugnet L; Espinoza P; Diamant-Berger O. (1996). "Urine benzodiazepines screening of involuntarily drugged and robbed or raped patients". Journal of Toxicology: Clinical Toxicology. 34 (6): 721–4. doi:10.3109/15563659609013835. PMID 8941203.
- 12. Tang CP; Pang AH; Ungvari GS. (July 1996). "Shoplifting and robbery in a fugue state". Medicine, Science, and the Law. **36** (3): 265–8. PMID 8918097.
- Ohshima T. (January 2006). "A case of drug-facilitated sexual assault by the use of flunitrazepam". Journal of Clinical Forensic Medicine. 13 (1): 44– 5. doi:10.1016/j.jcfm.2005.05.006. PMID 16087387.
- Negrusz A; Gaensslen RE. (August 2003). "Analytical developments in toxicological investigation of drug-facilitated sexual assault". Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry. 376 (8): 1192– 7. doi:10.1007/s00216-003-1896-z. PMID 12682705.
- Kintz P; Villain M; Chèze M; Pépin G. (October 29, 2005). "Identification of alprazolam in hair in two cases of drug-facilitated incidents". Forensic Science International. 153 (2–3): 222–6. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2004.10.025. PMID 16139113
- 16. Weir E. (July 10, 2001). "Drug-facilitated date rape". Canadian Medical Association Journal. 165 (1): 80. PMC 81265. PMID 11468961.

- Saint-Martin P; Furet Y; O'Byrne P; Bouyssy M; Paintaud G; Autret-Leca E. (March–April 2006). "[Chemical submission: a literature review]". Thérapie. 61 (2): 145– 50. doi:10.2515/therapie:2006028. PMID 16886708.
- 18. Dåderman A; Lidberg L. (March 3, 1999). "[Rohypnol should be classified as a narcotic]". Läkartidningen. **96** (9): 1005–7. PMID 10093441.
- Dåderman AM; Strindlund H; Wiklund N; Fredriksen SO; Lidberg L. (October 14, 2003). "The importance of a urine sample in persons intoxicated with flunitrazepam--legal issues in a forensic psychiatric case study of a serial murderer". Forensic Science International. 137 (1): 21– 7. doi:10.1016/S0379-0738(03)00273-1. PMID 14550609.
- 20. Dåderman AM; Fredriksson B; Kristiansson M; Nilsson LH; Lidberg L. (2002). "Violent behavior, impulsive decision-making, and anterograde amnesia while intoxicated with flunitrazepam and alcohol or other drugs: a case study in forensic psychiatric patients". Journal of the American Academy of Psychiatry and the Law. 30 (2): 238–51. PMID 12108561.
- 21. Drug-Related Crime, The National Center for Victims of Crime