



An investigation into the Cultural implications of the utilization of Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools in Higher Education in Nigeria

By:

DR. KALU, NGOZI EZEMA

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATIONAL FOUNDATIONS,
FACULTY OF EDUCATION. UNIVERSITY OF PORT HARCOURT,
CHObA, PORT HARCOURT, RIVERS STATE.

Email: ngozikalu88@gmail.com

Abstract

This study investigates the cultural implications and inclusivity of Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools in higher education in Nigeria. Using a quantitative research methodology and a survey research design, data was collected from 157 staff members of a college of education in Zaria. The findings reveal critical concerns about the impact of AI tools on local knowledge systems, cultural heritage, and traditional pedagogical practices. The results show that AI tools developed within Western frameworks may overlook indigenous languages and cultural contexts, potentially leading to the erosion of cultural identities and biases. Furthermore, unequal access to technology and socio-economic barriers contribute to the exclusion of marginalized groups, particularly women and students with disabilities. Despite these challenges, the study highlights the potential for AI to promote personalized learning and inclusivity, particularly through features such as adaptive learning systems and assistive technologies. However, gaps remain in making AI tools fully inclusive, particularly in terms of multilingual resources, mobile accessibility, and gender equity. Based on these findings, the study recommends the development of AI tools that reflect Nigeria's linguistic and cultural diversity, as well as the implementation of comprehensive digital literacy training for educators and students. Collaborative efforts among educators, policymakers, and technology developers are essential to creating an inclusive and culturally responsive AI-enabled educational environment.

Keywords: *Artificial Intelligence, Higher Education, Cultural Implications, Inclusivity, Nigeria, Indigenous Languages, Pedagogy, Digital Literacy, Educational Technology, Gender Equity.*

How to cite: NGOZI EZEMA, K. (2025). An investigation into the Cultural implications of the utilization of Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools in Higher Education in Nigeria. *GPH-International Journal of Educational Research*, 8(9), 63-73. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17414581>



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

Introduction

The rapid adoption of Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools in higher education has sparked global discourse on their transformative potential and societal implications. In Nigeria, where higher education institutions grapple with challenges such as overcrowded classrooms, inadequate resources, and inefficient administrative processes, AI tools present an opportunity to bridge significant gaps in teaching, learning, and management. From adaptive learning systems to automated assessment tools, AI technologies promise to enhance the educational experience by fostering personalized learning, reducing administrative burdens, and providing data-driven insights for decision-making. However, the integration of AI into the Nigerian higher education is not without cultural implications, as it interacts with existing societal values, traditions, and practices, raising questions about inclusivity, equity, and local relevance.

The cultural implications of utilizing AI tools in higher education in Nigeria are particularly significant given the country's diverse and multicultural context. AI tools, often designed within global frameworks and foreign cultural norms, may not fully align with local educational values, languages, and pedagogical approaches. For instance, the use of automated learning systems and AI-generated content may inadvertently marginalize indigenous knowledge systems and linguistic diversity (Eze et al., 2023). Disparities in access to technology, influenced by socio-economic and cultural factors, could exacerbate inequalities among students and institutions (Adebayo, 2022). As education remains a cornerstone of cultural transmission and identity preservation, understanding how AI technologies interact with local traditions is critical for their effective and equitable implementation. The ethical and cultural considerations surrounding data privacy and surveillance in the use of AI tools have drawn attention to the potential for misalignment with Nigerian societal norms. AI-driven tools often rely on extensive data collection to function effectively, which may raise concerns about student and faculty privacy, particularly in a context where data protection regulations are still evolving (Okonkwo & Adeola, 2021). The perception of surveillance through AI systems might conflict with cultural expectations of trust and autonomy in academic environments. Thus, the introduction of AI in higher education necessitates a careful balance between technological advancement and cultural sensitivity.

Statement of the Problem

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools into higher education has brought transformative changes to teaching, learning, and administrative processes globally. In Nigeria, where the education sector faces persistent challenges such as overcrowded classrooms, limited access to quality educational resources, and inefficient administrative systems, AI technologies have emerged as potential solutions to these problems (Adebayo, 2022). However, their adoption raises critical concerns about cultural alignment, inclusivity, and equity, which remain underexplored in the Nigerian context.

AI tools are predominantly developed within cultural and technological frameworks that may not reflect the diverse values, traditions, and linguistic realities of Nigerian society. As a

result, their utilization could marginalize local educational practices and indigenous knowledge systems. For instance, automated learning systems and AI-driven instructional methods often prioritize standardized, Western-oriented curricula, potentially undermining efforts to preserve and integrate indigenous knowledge and cultural diversity into the education system. This misalignment poses a risk to the cultural relevance of higher education in Nigeria. The introduction of AI tools amplifies concerns about access and equity. Significant disparities in technological infrastructure, digital literacy, and socio-economic status across Nigerian institutions and regions may limit the accessibility of AI-powered educational tools. Such disparities threaten to exacerbate existing inequalities between rural and urban areas, public and private institutions, and privileged and marginalized student populations. Ethical issues surrounding data privacy, surveillance, and bias in AI systems have yet to be adequately addressed, raising questions about the appropriateness and fairness of these technologies within Nigerian cultural and legal frameworks. While AI tools hold the promise of addressing many of the systemic challenges in Nigerian higher education, their cultural implications have not been sufficiently examined. This lack of understanding risks unintended consequences that could undermine their effectiveness and cultural acceptability. This study seeks to address this gap by exploring the cultural implications of AI utilization in Nigerian higher education, focusing on how these technologies influence local educational values, inclusivity, and equity.

Research Objectives

1. To examine the cultural implications of AI tools in higher education
2. To assess the inclusivity of AI tools in Nigerian higher education

Literature Review

1. Cultural Implications of AI Tools in Higher Education

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools into higher education has revolutionized how institutions deliver education and manage their operations. However, these technological advancements come with cultural implications that influence their adoption and effectiveness. In contexts such as Nigeria, where higher education is deeply embedded in socio-cultural norms and practices, understanding these implications is crucial for ensuring meaningful and equitable AI utilization. One significant cultural implication of AI adoption in higher education is the potential marginalization of indigenous knowledge systems. AI tools are often developed within Western paradigms and may not reflect or prioritize local educational needs, cultural values, or languages. This mismatch could lead to the erosion of indigenous knowledge, which has historically been transmitted through oral traditions and culturally specific pedagogies (Adebayo et al., 2023). For example, AI-driven content platforms may favor globally recognized academic resources while neglecting locally produced knowledge, further deepening the gap between global and indigenous educational frameworks. Language is another critical factor that underscores the cultural implications of AI tools. Most AI-driven educational systems and content are designed in English or other dominant global languages, potentially alienating students and educators who are more proficient in indigenous

languages. This linguistic bias can hinder effective learning and contribute to a sense of cultural displacement within the academic environment (Okeke & Adeola, 2022). Furthermore, the lack of culturally sensitive algorithms in AI tools may perpetuate stereotypes or biases, particularly in diverse societies like Nigeria, where cultural representation in education is essential for fostering inclusivity and belonging.

The ethical dimensions of AI usage in higher education also intersect with cultural concerns. For instance, AI's reliance on extensive data collection raises questions about privacy and surveillance, which may conflict with traditional notions of trust and autonomy in Nigerian academic and cultural contexts (Chinwe et al., 2022). The use of AI for monitoring student performance or behavior might be perceived as intrusive or misaligned with cultural expectations of educational relationships, which often emphasize mentorship and personal interaction. The digital divide presents a cultural challenge in AI adoption. Socio-economic disparities between urban and rural areas, coupled with varying levels of digital literacy, create inequities in access to AI tools. These disparities reflect broader systemic issues within Nigerian society and highlight the need for culturally informed approaches to technology deployment (Eze & Bello, 2021). Addressing these gaps requires an understanding of the local context to ensure that AI adoption enhances educational opportunities rather than exacerbating existing inequalities. To navigate these cultural implications, scholars emphasize the importance of developing AI systems tailored to local needs and values. Culturally adaptive algorithms, integration of indigenous knowledge systems, and multilingual support are strategies that can promote inclusivity and relevance (Adebayo et al., 2023). Additionally, fostering collaborations between technology developers, educators, and cultural stakeholders can help align AI tools with the unique socio-cultural contexts of higher education in Nigeria.

2. Inclusivity of AI Tools in Nigerian Higher Education

The inclusivity of Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools in Nigerian higher education is a critical area of study as these technologies become increasingly integrated into teaching, learning, and administrative systems. While AI holds the potential to bridge educational gaps and enhance accessibility, significant challenges remain in ensuring equitable access and participation across the country's diverse socio-economic and cultural context. Inclusivity involves addressing disparities in access, accommodating diverse learning needs, and promoting representation within the educational content and systems driven by AI technologies. One of the primary barriers to inclusivity in AI adoption is the digital divide in Nigeria. The disparity in access to technology between rural and urban areas, as well as between public and private institutions, has limited the equitable deployment of AI tools (Adebayo & Onyekwere, 2023). Urban institutions with better funding and infrastructure are more likely to benefit from advanced AI systems, while those in rural areas often lack the basic technological foundation to implement such tools effectively. This divide reinforces existing inequalities, leaving many students and educators in underprivileged regions unable to participate in the digital transformation of higher education. Socio-economic factors play a significant role in determining inclusivity. Students from low-income families often face challenges in accessing the necessary devices, internet connectivity, or digital skills required

to use AI tools (Okonkwo et al., 2022). This disparity is further compounded by gender-based inequities, as studies have shown that female students are less likely to have access to technological resources due to cultural and financial constraints. These gaps highlight the need for targeted interventions to ensure that AI tools do not unintentionally exclude already marginalized groups.

Inclusivity also involves the ability of AI tools to accommodate diverse learning needs, including those of students with disabilities. While AI has the potential to provide personalized learning experiences, its implementation in Nigeria has been criticized for not adequately addressing the needs of learners with physical or cognitive disabilities (Bello & Adeola, 2022). For instance, the absence of accessibility features such as screen readers, voice recognition, and customizable learning interfaces limits the participation of students with visual or hearing impairments. A more inclusive approach would require integrating universal design principles into AI systems to cater to learners of all abilities. Inclusivity extends to the representation of cultural and linguistic diversity in AI-driven educational content. Many AI systems rely on pre-programmed datasets that may not adequately reflect the cultural or linguistic realities of Nigeria's diverse population (Eze et al., 2021). This can lead to the marginalization of students who are more comfortable learning in indigenous languages or who value the integration of local knowledge into their education. To address this issue, there is a need for AI tools that incorporate multilingual support and culturally relevant content, ensuring that all students feel represented and included in the learning process.

To achieve inclusivity, policymakers and educators must prioritize investments in digital infrastructure, capacity building, and the development of locally relevant AI systems. Collaboration between technology developers, educational institutions, and government agencies is essential for creating AI tools that are accessible and culturally responsive. Inclusivity in AI adoption is not merely about providing equal access to technology but also about fostering an environment where all learners, regardless of their background or abilities, can thrive in a digital educational ecosystem.

Methodology

Quantitative research methodology was adopted for this study. The survey research design was used for this study. The population for this study consists of one hundred and fifty seven (157) staffs of college of education Zaria. A closed-ended questionnaire was used for data collection. The instrument was validated by the researcher's supervisors using face and content validity. The researcher collects an introductory letter from the Department to enable the collection of data. Three (3) research assistant will be employed. Descriptive statistics was used to analyze the data collected from the research questions. Frequency count and percentage was used to analyze research question and the benchmark is 50% and above.

Result and Findings

4.3.1.1 Cultural implications of AI tools in higher education

The Data on the cultural implications of AI tools in higher education were collected. Table 4.1 presented the data collected and analyzed.

S/N	Cultural implications of AI tools in higher education	F	%
1	AI tools, often developed within Western educational frameworks, may neglect or underrepresent local knowledge systems, leading to a loss of cultural heritage and traditional learning methods	41	28.3%
2	Most AI-driven educational tools are designed in dominant global languages, such as English, potentially marginalizing students who speak indigenous languages or who are not proficient in these languages	45	31.0%
3	AI tools may promote a standardized, global curriculum that overlooks regional and cultural diversity, potentially eroding cultural identities and local pedagogical practices.	42	29.0%
4	The use of AI for monitoring student behavior, performance, and interactions may conflict with cultural norms of trust, privacy, and autonomy, especially in societies that prioritize personal relationships in education	58	40.0%
5	AI systems may perpetuate cultural biases if not properly trained on diverse, representative data, reinforcing stereotypes or misrepresentations of local cultures, genders, and social groups	105	72.4%
6	Unequal access to technology in different socio-economic and cultural contexts may exacerbate educational disparities, with marginalized groups facing greater barriers to accessing AI-powered tools and platforms	88	60.7%
7	The reliance on AI tools in education might lead to a shift away from traditional, culturally specific pedagogies that emphasize oral communication, community-based learning, and interpersonal relationships in education.	103	71.0%
8	AI-driven educational content may not fully represent diverse cultural perspectives or local contexts, leading to an education system that fails to reflect the cultural and historical experiences of students.	96	66.2%

9	Cultural norms around privacy, especially concerning personal data, may clash with AI systems that rely on extensive data collection for personalized learning, raising concerns about consent and misuse of information.	35	24.1%
10	Loss of Human Interaction and Mentorship	106	73.1%

Table 4.1 shows the respondents opinion on the Cultural implications of AI tools in higher education. Based on the benchmark of 50%, the table on the total percentage shows that items 5, 6, 7, 8, and 10 have response scores above the acceptable benchmark of 50% Thus, it can be said that they are the Cultural implications of AI tools in higher education. On the other hand, items 1, 2, 3, 4 and 9 are below the acceptable benchmark hence, they are mostly not accepted as the Cultural implications of AI tools in higher education.

The findings regarding the cultural implications of AI tools in higher education reveal significant concerns about the integration and impact of these technologies on diverse educational contexts. A notable observation is that AI tools are frequently developed within Western educational frameworks, which can lead to the neglect or underrepresentation of local knowledge systems. This oversight risks eroding cultural heritage and traditional learning methods, as highlighted by the substantial percentage (28.3%) of respondents who identified this issue as a critical cultural implication. The reliance on a standardized curriculum, often dictated by dominant global languages like English, further marginalizes students who are speakers of indigenous languages, as indicated by 31% of respondents. This linguistic barrier not only affects comprehension but also diminishes the educational experience for students who may already be at a disadvantage due to their socio-economic backgrounds. The data suggests that AI tools can promote a homogenized curriculum that overlooks regional and cultural diversity, potentially leading to a loss of cultural identities and local pedagogical practices. The high percentage (29%) of respondents acknowledging this concern underscores the need for educational technologies to reflect and incorporate local contexts and values actively. The implications are profound; as education becomes increasingly standardized through AI, unique cultural perspectives may be lost, resulting in a form of cultural imperialism where Western methodologies overshadow local traditions. The reliance on AI for monitoring student behavior and performance raises additional ethical concerns, particularly regarding privacy and autonomy. In cultures where personal relationships and trust are paramount in educational settings, the use of AI for surveillance can conflict with these norms. This sentiment is echoed by 40% of respondents who recognize this tension, suggesting that educational institutions must navigate these complexities carefully to maintain trust within their communities. A critical finding is that AI systems may perpetuate cultural biases if they are not trained on diverse and representative data. The overwhelming majority (72.4%) of respondents pointed out this risk, highlighting how biased training data can reinforce stereotypes and misrepresentations of local cultures. This calls for urgent action to ensure that AI development includes diverse perspectives to avoid perpetuating existing inequalities.

Access to technology also presents a significant challenge. With 60.7% of respondents indicating that unequal access exacerbates educational disparities, it is clear that marginalized groups face greater barriers in utilizing AI-powered tools. This inequity not only hinders their educational opportunities but also reinforces systemic inequalities in society. The findings indicate that reliance on AI tools may lead to a shift away from traditional pedagogies that emphasize interpersonal relationships and community-based learning practices. A significant portion (71%) of respondents expressed concern over this shift, suggesting that educational institutions must strive to balance technological integration with the preservation of culturally specific teaching methods. The loss of human interaction and mentorship due to increased reliance on AI tools was highlighted by 73.1% of respondents as a major cultural implication. This underscores the importance of maintaining human connections in education, which are essential for fostering meaningful learning experiences and personal development.

4.3.1.2 Inclusivity of AI tools in Nigerian higher education

The Data on the inclusivity of AI tools in Nigerian higher education were collected. Table 4.2 presented the data collected and analyzed.

S/N	Inclusivity of AI tools in Nigerian Higher Education	F	%
1	AI tools that offer resources and learning materials in multiple languages, including indigenous languages, cater to the diverse linguistic needs of Nigerian students.	34	23.4 %
2	AI-driven platforms adapt to individual learning styles, helping students learn at their own pace, and ensuring that learners with different academic abilities and backgrounds can access tailored educational experiences.	99	68.3 %
3	AI tools incorporate features such as speech recognition, screen readers, and other assistive technologies that support students with disabilities, making learning more accessible for everyone.	95	65.5 %
4	Many AI-powered educational tools are accessible via mobile devices, which are more affordable and widely used in Nigeria, allowing students from various socio-economic backgrounds to access educational content.	44	30.3 %
5	AI tools can be designed to ensure equal access to educational opportunities for female students, overcoming cultural and socio-economic barriers that often prevent women from pursuing higher education	26	17.9 %
6	With AI tools institutions can reach students in both urban and rural areas, addressing geographical and infrastructural disparities.	44	30.3 %

7	AI tools allow for the integration of diverse perspectives and culturally relevant content, ensuring that the curriculum reflects Nigeria's rich cultural diversity and local knowledge systems.	91	62.8 %
8	AI platforms provide instant feedback on assignments and assessments, offering students timely assistance and enabling those who may not have access to immediate teacher support to progress.	44	30.3 %
9	AI tools facilitate group learning and collaboration through virtual platforms, helping students from different backgrounds work together and exchange ideas, fostering inclusivity.	80	55.2 %
10	AI tools in Nigerian higher education institutions often come with training modules for students and faculty, promoting digital literacy and ensuring that all members of the academic community can effectively use these tools.	88	60.7 %

Table 4.2 shows the respondents opinion on the Inclusivity of AI tools in Nigerian Higher Education. Based on the benchmark of 50%, the table on the total percentage shows that items 2, 3, 7, 9 and 10 have response scores above the acceptable benchmark of 50% Thus, it can be said that they are how inclusive of AI tools in Nigerian Higher Education. On the other hand, items 1, 4, 5, 6 and 8 are below the acceptable benchmark hence, they are mostly not inclusive.

The findings on the inclusivity of AI tools in Nigerian higher education reveal a mixed situation, highlighting both promising opportunities and significant challenges. A substantial portion of respondents (68.3%) recognized that AI-driven platforms can adapt to individual learning styles, enabling students to learn at their own pace. This adaptability is crucial in a diverse educational environment like Nigeria, where students come from various academic backgrounds and possess different abilities. Such personalized learning experiences can significantly enhance engagement and comprehension, thereby improving overall educational outcomes. Moreover, 65.5% of respondents noted that AI tools incorporate assistive technologies, such as speech recognition and screen readers, which support students with disabilities. This inclusivity is vital for ensuring that all students have equitable access to education, fostering an environment where everyone can thrive regardless of their physical or learning challenges.

The ability of AI tools to integrate diverse perspectives and culturally relevant content was acknowledged by 62.8% of respondents. This feature is particularly important in Nigeria, given its rich cultural diversity, as it allows educational content to reflect local knowledge systems and cultural contexts. However, several areas remain underwhelming in terms of inclusivity. For instance, only 23.4% of respondents indicated that AI tools offering resources in multiple languages cater effectively to Nigeria's diverse linguistic needs. This limitation underscores a significant gap in accessibility for students who speak indigenous languages,

potentially alienating a large segment of the population from the benefits of AI-enhanced education. Similarly, only 17.9% felt that AI tools are designed to ensure equal access for female students, highlighting ongoing cultural and socio-economic barriers that hinder women's participation in higher education.

The findings also reveal that while mobile accessibility is crucial—given the widespread use of mobile devices in Nigeria—only 30.3% of respondents felt that AI-powered educational tools effectively address this need. This indicates a missed opportunity to leverage mobile technology to reach students from various socio-economic backgrounds who may not have access to traditional educational resources. Furthermore, the ability of AI tools to facilitate group learning and collaboration received moderate support (55.2%), suggesting that while there is potential for fostering inclusivity through collaborative platforms, there is still room for improvement in creating environments that encourage interaction among students from different backgrounds.

The implications of these findings are significant for policymakers and educational institutions in Nigeria. To harness the full potential of AI tools in promoting inclusivity, there must be a concerted effort to address the identified gaps. This includes developing multilingual resources to cater to diverse linguistic needs and implementing strategies specifically aimed at increasing female participation in higher education through targeted AI solutions. Additionally, enhancing mobile accessibility and ensuring that all AI tools are designed with inclusivity in mind will be essential for bridging the digital divide. As Nigeria continues its journey towards integrating AI into its higher education system, it is crucial to prioritize ethical considerations and equitable access. By fostering collaborations among government bodies, educational institutions, and technology providers, Nigeria can create an inclusive educational landscape that not only embraces technological advancements but also respects and reflects its rich cultural diversity. This approach will not only enhance learning outcomes but also empower all students to thrive in an increasingly digital world.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the exploration of the cultural implications and inclusivity of AI tools in Nigerian higher education reveals a complex interplay of opportunities and challenges. While AI technologies hold significant promise for enhancing personalized learning experiences and supporting students with diverse needs, there are critical gaps that must be addressed to ensure equitable access for all. The findings indicate a pressing need for AI tools to incorporate local languages, culturally relevant content, and features that promote inclusivity, particularly for marginalized groups such as women and students with disabilities. As Nigeria continues to navigate the integration of AI in its education, it is essential for stakeholders educators, policymakers, and technology developers to collaborate in creating solutions that reflect the nation's rich cultural diversity.

Recommendations

1. To enhance inclusivity in Nigerian higher education, it is essential to develop AI tools that offer educational resources in multiple languages, including indigenous

languages. This initiative would ensure that students from diverse linguistic backgrounds can access learning materials that resonate with their cultural contexts. Educational institutions should collaborate with language experts and local communities to create content that reflects the rich linguistic diversity of Nigeria.

2. To maximize the effectiveness of AI tools in promoting inclusivity, it is crucial to implement comprehensive training programs for both educators and students. These programs should focus on digital literacy, familiarizing users with AI technologies and their potential applications in education. Training should also emphasize the importance of culturally responsive teaching practices and the integration of diverse perspectives into the curriculum.

References

Adebayo, T. (2022). *Bridging digital divides in Nigerian education: Prospects and challenges of AI tools*. Journal of Educational Technology in Africa, 15(3), 45-58.

Adebayo, T., Eze, U., & Okafor, P. (2023). Artificial intelligence and cultural dynamics in African higher education: Implications and strategies. Journal of Technology in Education, 18(2), 89-104.

Chinwe, R., Okonkwo, O., & Adeola, M. (2022). Ethical challenges in AI adoption in African education systems: A cultural perspective. Journal of African Educational Studies, 12(3), 45-62.

Eze, U., & Bello, R. (2021). Bridging cultural divides in AI-powered education: The Nigerian experience. African Journal of Educational Technology, 10(4), 67-81.

Eze, U., Bello, R., & Okafor, P. (2023). *Artificial Intelligence in African education: Opportunities and cultural dilemmas*. African Journal of Educational Innovations, 19(1), 30-49.

Okeke, P., & Adeola, M. (2022). Language and cultural inclusivity in AI tools for higher education in Africa. Journal of Language and Education, 15(1), 25-39.

Okonkwo, O., & Adeola, M. (2021). *Data privacy and ethical challenges of AI adoption in Nigerian higher education*. Journal of Ethics and Technology, 8(2), 112-125.