



# THE FASCINATING MATHEMATICAL BEAUTY OF THE SPECIAL MATRIX BASED ON INFINITE CONVERGENT GEOMETRIC SERIES

Mulatu Lemma, Agegnehu Atena and Keith Lord

College of Science and Technology, Department of Mathematics, Savannah State University, USA.

Corresponding author: \*Mulatu Lemma Tel.:+1 Email: lemmam@savannahstate.edu

## ABSTRACT

The infinite Geometric Series is a series of the form

 $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} ax^{k}$ , where a is a constant. The geometric power series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} ax^{k}$  converges for |x| < 1 and is equal to  $\frac{a}{1-x}$ . The Second Derivative of  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} ax^{k}$  is  $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ak(k-1)x^{k-2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a(k+2)(k+1)x^{k}$ Let t be sequence in (0,1) that converges to 1. The matrix based on second derivative of convergent infinite geometric series defined as  $a_{nk} = \frac{1}{2} (k+2) (k+1) (1-t_{n})^{3} t_{n}^{k}$ . We denote this matrix by S<sub>t</sub> and name it the matrix associated second derivative of geometric series. S<sub>t</sub> is a sequence to sequence mapping. When a matrix S<sub>t</sub> is

applied to a sequence x, we get a new sequence  $S_t x$  whose nth term is given by:

$$(S_t x)_n = \frac{1}{2} (1 - t_n)^3 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+2)(k+1) t_n^{\ k} x_k$$

The sequence  $S_t$  *x* is called the  $S_t$  -transform of the sequence *x*.

The purpose of this research is to investigate the effect of applying S<sub>t</sub> to convergent sequences, bounded sequences, divergent sequences, and absolutely convergent sequences. We considering and answer the following interesting main research questions.

## **KEYWORDS**

Fibonacci numbers, Fibonacci sequences, Pascal's triangle, and Golden ratio.

#### **Research Questions**

(1) What is the domain of t for which  $S_t$  maps convergent sequence into convergent sequence?

(2) What is the domain of t for which the  $S_t$  maps absolutely convergent sequence into absolutely convergent sequence?

(3) Does S<sub>t</sub> maps unbounded sequence to convergent sequence?

(4) Does S<sub>t</sub> maps divergent sequence to convergent sequence?

(5) How is the strength of the S  $_{t}$  comparing to the identity matrix?

#### **Notations and Background Materials**

- w= {the set of all complex sequences}
- c= {the set of all convergent complex sequences}

$$c(A) = \{y: Ay \in c\}$$
$$l = \{y: \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |y_k| < \infty\}$$
$$l(A) = \{y: Ay \in l\}$$

**Definition 1:** A matrix A is an x-y matrix if the image Au of u under the transformation A is in Y wherever u is in x.

#### **Regular Matrix**

A matrix is regular if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} Z_n = a \Rightarrow \lim_{n\to\infty} (AX)_n = a$ . That is a sequence Z is convergent to  $A \Rightarrow$  the A-transform of Z also converses to a.

#### The Sliverman-Toeplitz Rule

We state the following famous Sliverman-Toeplitz Rule as Proposition I with out proof and apply it.

<u>**Proposition I:**</u> A matrix A =  $(a_{n,k})$  is regular if and only if

(i) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} a_{n,k} = 0$$
 for each  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ 

(ii) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}a_{n,k}=1$$
, and

$$\sup_{(\text{iii})} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{O}} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_{n;k}| \right\} \le M < \infty \text{ for some } M < 0.$$

#### The Main Results

**<u>Theorem 1:</u>** The S<sub>t</sub> matrix is a regular matrix for all t.

**Proof:** We use proposition 1, to prove the theorem. Note that

(1) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} a_{n,k} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2} (k+2) (k+1) (1-t_n)^3 t_n^{-k} = 0$$
  
(2) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+2) (k+1) t_n^{-k} (1-t_n)^3 = 0$$
  

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2} (1-t_n)^3 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+2) (k+1) t_n^{-k} = \frac{(1-t_n)^3}{(1-t_n)^3} = 1 \text{ and}$$
  
(3) 
$$\sup_{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{n,k} = 1$$

Hence by Proposition I, the matrix  $S_t$  is <u>a regular matrix</u>. Thus the matrix  $S_t$  maps all convergent sequences into convergent sequences and we can say that the matrix  $S_t$  a c-c matrix.

**<u>Remark 1:</u>** The S<sub>t</sub> matrix maps <u>a bounded sequence</u> into a convergent sequence as shown by the following example. This shows that the S<sub>t</sub> matrix is stronger than the identity matrix or  $c(S_t)$  is larger than c.

**Example1**: Consider the bounded sequence given by  $x_k = (-1)^k$ 

Then 
$$(S_t x)_n = \frac{1}{2} (1 - t_n)^3 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+2)(k+1)(t_n)^k (-1)^k$$

$$=\frac{1}{2}(1-t_n)^3\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k+2)(k+1)(-t^n)^k$$

$$= (1 - t_n)^3 \frac{1}{(1 + t_n)^3}$$
(1 - t\_n)^3

$$\Rightarrow (S_t x)_n = \frac{(1 - t_n)}{(1 + t_n)^3} \Rightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} (S_t x)_n = 0 \Rightarrow S_t x \in C$$

**<u>Remark 2</u>**: The  $S_t$  matrix maps also <u>a divergent sequence x</u> into a convergent sequence as shown by the following example.

Example 2: Consider the unbounded sequence given by x defined by

$$\begin{split} & X_{k} = (-1)^{k} (k+3)(k+1)(k+2) \text{ . Note that} \\ & (S_{t}x)_{n} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (1-t_{n})^{3} t_{n}^{k} (-1)^{k} (k+2)(k+1) \\ & = \frac{1}{2} (1-t_{n})^{3} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t_{n}^{k} (-1)^{k} )(k+3)(k+2)(k+1) \\ & = (1-t_{n})^{3} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-t_{n})^{k} (k+3)(k+2)(k+1) \\ & = \frac{3(1-t_{n})^{3}}{(1+t_{n})^{4}} \\ & \text{Now,} \lim_{n \to \infty} (S_{t}x)_{n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(1-t_{n})^{3}}{(1+t_{n})^{4}} = 0 \\ & \text{Hence} \quad S_{t} X \in C. \end{split}$$

## Knopp-LorentzThorem

The Matrix A is an  $\ell - \ell$  matrix if and only if there exists a number M > 0 such that for every k,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\neq} |a_{nk}| \neq M.$$

$$\underline{\text{Theorem 2:}} S_{t \text{ is }} \ell - \ell \Longleftrightarrow (1-t)^3 \in \ell$$

#### Lemma 1:

$$S_{t \text{ is }} \ell - \ell_{\text{matrix}} \mathsf{P} (1-t)^3 \in \ell$$

**<u>Proof:</u>** We use the Knopp-Lorentz Rule.

$$S_{t_{is}} \ell - \ell \mathsf{P} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |(1-t_n)^3 t_n^k| \le M$$
$$\mathsf{P} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |(1-t_n)^3| \le M_{(\text{for } k=0)}$$
$$\mathsf{P} (1-t)^3 \in \ell$$

Lemma 2:

$$(1-t)^3 \in \ell \mathrel{\mathsf{P}} S_t \underset{\text{is an}}{\ell} \ell - \ell_{\text{matrix}}$$

**Proof:** We use the Knopp-Lorentz Rule

$$\hat{\stackrel{*}{a}}_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \, \mathbb{E} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |(1-t_n)^3 t_n^k|$$
  
$$\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1-t_n)^3 \leq M_{\text{for some M>0 as}} \left(1-t\right)^3 \in \ell_{-}.$$

Now Theorem 2 follows by Lemmas 1&2.

**Corollary 1.**  $\arcsin(1-t)^2 \in 1 \iff S_t$  is an l-l matrix.

Proof: The corollary easily follows using Theorem 2 and the following basic inequality.

$$(1-t)^{3} \leq \arcsin(1-t)^{3} \leq \frac{(1-t)^{3}}{\sqrt{1-(1-t)^{3}}}.$$

**Theorem 3**  $\frac{-1}{\ln(1-t_n)} \in 1 \Longrightarrow \mathbf{S}_t$  is an 1-1 matrix.

Proof. Note that:

$$(1 - t_n)^3 \le (1 - t_n) := \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t_n^{k}\right)^{-1}$$
$$\le \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k+1} t_n^{k}\right)^{-1}$$
$$= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t_n^{k} \left(\int_0^1 V^k \, dV\right)\right)^{-1}$$
$$= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_0^1 t_n^{k} V^k \, dV\right)\right)^{-1}$$
$$= \left(\int_0^1 dV \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (t_n V)^k\right)\right)^{-1}$$

The Interchanging of the Integral and summation is legitimate as the power series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (Vt_n)^k$$
 converges absolutely and uniformly for  $0 \le Vt_n \le 1$ . Hence we have,

$$(1 - t_n)^3 \le 1 - t_n \le \left(\int_0^1 \frac{dV}{1 - Vt_n}\right)^{-1}$$
$$= \left(\frac{-1}{t_n} \left(\ln(1 - t_n)\right)^{-1}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\ln(1-t_n)}$$

The hypothesis that  $\frac{-1}{\ln(1-t)} \in 1 \Longrightarrow (1-t)^3 \in 1$  and hence by Theorem 2,

 $S_t$  is 1-1.

**Remark 3.** An 1-1  $S_t$  matrix maps <u>a bounded sequence</u> into l as shown by the following example. This shows that the  $S_t$  matrix is stronger than the identity matrix in the *l*-*l* setting or  $l(S_t)$  is larger than *l*.

#### Example 3.

Assume the  $S_t$  matrix is *l*-*l* and consider the bounded sequence given by  $x_k = (-1)^k$ 

$$Then (S_t x)_n = \frac{1}{2} (1 - t_n)^3 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)(k+2)(t_n)^k (-1)^k$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} (1 - t_n)^3 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+2)(k+1)(-t^n)^k$$
$$= (1 - t_n)^3 \frac{1}{(1 + t_n)^3}$$
$$\leq (1 - t_n)^3$$

Now the  $S_t$  matrix is  $1-l \Rightarrow (1-t)^3 \in 1$ , by Theorem 2, and hence  $S_t x \in l$ .

**<u>Remark 4:</u>** An l-l  $S_t$  matrix maps unbounded <u>sequence</u> into l as shown by the following example.

**Example 4:** Assume  $S_t$  is an *l*-*l* matrix and consider the unbounded sequence given by

$$X_{k} = (-1)^{k} (k+3) \text{ . Note that}$$

$$(S_{t}x)_{n} = = \frac{1}{2} (1-t_{n})^{3} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t_{n}^{k} (-1)^{k} (k+3)(k+2)(k+1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (1-t_{n})^{3} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-t_{n})^{k} (k+3)(k+2)(k+1)$$

$$= \frac{(1-t_{n})^{3}}{(1+t_{n})^{4}}$$

$$\leq (1-t_{n})^{3}$$

Now  $S_t$  is an is 1-*l* matrix  $\Rightarrow (1-t)^3 \in 1$ , by Theorem 2, and hence  $S_t x \in l$ .

## Notable Acknowledgements.

Special thanks to:

- 1. Dr.Sujin Kim
- 2. Dr. Tilahun Muche
- 3. Dr. Abhinandan Chowdhury
- 4. Dr. WondimuTekalign
- 5. Dr. Samuel Dolo

#### **Reference:**

M.Lemma,

Logarithmictransformationsintol1, RockyMountainJ.Math.28(1998), no.1, 253266.MR99k:40004.Zbl922.40007..